Last revised Tuesday, 2007 June 26, 10:06:47 JAW, Sunday, 2007 April 8, 00:12:56

**Question**: How did Jozef Cohen derive the formula  $\mathbf{R} = A(A'A)^{-1}A'$ ?

## Cohen's Derivation of Matrix R

Consider a set of *K* linearly independent column vectors  $q_i$  of length *M*. In color work, one might have K = 3 and M = 471, or M = 31, for example. The vectors could be color matching functions or something else. It is assumed in any event that K < M. The vectors  $q_i$  are the columns of matrix  $A_{M \times K}$ . If K = 3,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 & q_2 & q_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (1)

Then let *N* be an arbitrary vector of length *M*. In color work, it might represent the spectral distribution of a light. It is then desired to find a vector  $N^*$  which is a linear combination of the columns of *A*, and a least-squares best fit to *N*. [In other words, if the columns of *A* are color matching functions,  $N^*$  is the fundamental metamer. The asterisk has nothing to do with complex conjugate or Hermitian. Matrix transpose will be indicated below by the prime symbol, '.]

For illustration, let *K*=3, but the derivation will apply for any *K*<*M*. Then the columns of A are linearly combined according to the coefficients *U*:

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (2)

That is,

$$N^* = AU \quad . \tag{3}$$

Eq. (3) expresses the idea that  $N^*$  is a linear combination of the vectors  $q_i$ . Then the least-squares condition must be applied. Expressing Eq. (3) in more detail,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11}u_1 + a_{12}u_2 + a_{13}u_3 \\ a_{21}u_1 + a_{22}u_2 + a_{23}u_3 \\ a_{31}u_1 + a_{32}u_2 + a_{33}u_3 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n^{*}_1 \\ n^{*}_2 \\ n^{*}_3 \\ n^{*}_4 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} .$$
(4)

To minimize the vector distance from N to  $N^*$ , |N-AU| must be minimized, which is to say one must minimize the following sum of squares, SS:

SS = 
$$(n_1 - [a_{11}u_1 + a_{12}u_2 + a_{13}u_3])^2 +$$

$$(n_{1}-[a_{21}u_{1}+a_{22}u_{2}+a_{23}u_{3}])^{2} + ...$$

$$(n_{1}-[a_{31}u_{1}+a_{32}u_{2}+a_{33}u_{3}])^{2} + ...$$

$$(n_{1}-[a_{41}u_{1}+a_{42}u_{2}+a_{43}u_{3}])^{2} + ...$$

$$(5)$$

Now define D = N - AU, so that  $d_i = n_i - [a_{i1}u_1 + a_{i2}u_2 + a_{i3}u_3]$ .

With this substitution,

$$SS = d_1^2 + d_2^2 + d_3^2 + d_4^2 + \dots \quad .$$
(6)

Taking the partial derivatives with respect to  $u_1$ ,  $u_2$ , and  $u_3$  and setting them equal to zero gives

$$\partial SS/\partial u_1 = -2a_{11}d_1 - 2a_{21}d_2 - 2a_{31}d_3 - \dots = 0$$
  

$$\partial SS/\partial u_2 = -2a_{12}d_1 - 2a_{22}d_2 - 2a_{32}d_3 - \dots = 0$$
  

$$\partial SS/\partial u_1 = -2a_{13}d_1 - 2a_{23}d_2 - 2a_{33}d_3 - \dots = 0$$
(7)

Eq. (7) amounts to a 3-element vector set equal to zero. Dividing through by the factor of -2 and then expressing the result as a matrix product,

$$A'D = 0 \quad . \tag{8}$$

However, D = N - AU. Thus

$$A'(N-AU) = 0 \tag{9}$$

$$A'N - A'AU = 0 \tag{10}$$

$$A'AU = A'N \tag{11}$$

$$U = (A'A)^{-1}A'N \quad . \tag{12}$$

We sought an expression for  $N^*$ , which equals AU. Therefore,

$$N^* = AU = A(A'A)^{-1}A'N \quad . \tag{13}$$

In Eq. (13), we see that  $A(A'A)^{-1}A'$  is the orthogonal projector projecting N into the three-space of the color mixture functions, or other column vectors in A. In short,

$$\mathbf{R} = A(A'A)^{-1}A' \quad . \tag{14}$$

## Eq. (14) is the desired result.

The proof above is taken from Jozef B. Cohen and William E. Kappauf, "Metameric color stimuli, fundamental metamers, and Wyszecki's metameric blacks," *Am. J. Psych.* **95**(4):537-564 (1982). I have taken some liberty with the wording, but the proof is Cohen's.

JAW